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## Piecewise Linear Optimization

$\min f_{0}(x)$
s.t.

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{i}(x) \leq 0 \quad \forall i \in I \\
x \in X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}
\end{gathered}
$$

- $\forall i \in\{0\} \cup I \quad f_{i}(x): D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a piecewise linear function (PLF) and $X$ is any compact set.
- Convex = Linear Programming. Non-Convex = NP Hard.
- Specialized algorithms (Tomlin 1981, ..., de Farias et al. 2008 ) or Mixed Integer Programming Models (12+ papers).


## Mixed Integer Models for PLFs

- Existing studies are for separable functions:

$$
f(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} f_{j}\left(x_{j}\right) \text { for } f_{j}\left(x_{j}\right): \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}
$$

o Contributions (Vielma et al. 2008a,b):

- First models with a logarithmic \# of binary variables.
- Theoretical and computational comparison: multivariate (non-separable) and lower semicontinuous functions in a unifying framework.


## Outline

- Applications of Piecewise Linear Functions.
- Modeling Piecewise Linear Functions.
- Logarithmic Formulations.
- Comparison of Formulations.

O Extension to Lower Semicontinuous Functions.
o Final Remarks.

## Applications of Piecewise Linear Functions

## Economies of Scale: Concave




- Single and multi-commodity network flow.
- Applications in telecommunications, transportation, and logistics.
O (Balakrishnan and Graves 1989, ..., Croxton, et al. 2007).


## Applications of Piecewise Linear Functions

## Fixed Charges and Discounts





1. Fixed Costs in Logistics.
2.Discounts (e.g. Auctions: Sandholm, et al. 2006, CombineNet).
2. Discounts in fixed charges (Lowe 1984).

## Applications of Piecewise Linear Functions

## Non-Linear and PDE Constraints



Demand Points
$p(x, t)=$ gas pressure
$q(x, t)=$ gas volume flow

$$
\begin{gathered}
A \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}+\rho_{0} \frac{\partial q}{\partial x}=0 \\
\frac{\partial p}{\partial x}=-\lambda \frac{|v| v}{2 D} \rho
\end{gathered}
$$

- Gas Network Optimization (Martin et al. 2006).
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## Non-Linear and PDE Constraints



Demand Points
$p(x, t)=$ gas pressure
$q(x, t)=$ gas volume flow

$$
\begin{gathered}
A \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}+\rho_{0} \frac{\partial q}{\partial x}=0 \\
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Discretize non-linear stationary solution $p_{v}=g\left(p_{u}, q_{u v}\right)$

- Gas Network Optimization (Martin et al. 2006).


## Applications of Piecewise Linear Functions

## Non-Linear and PDE Constraints



Demand Points
$p(x, t)=$ gas pressure
$q(x, t)=$ gas volume flow

$$
\begin{gathered}
A \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}+\rho_{0} \frac{\partial q}{\partial x}=0 \\
\frac{\partial p}{\partial x}=-\lambda \frac{|v| v}{2 D} \rho
\end{gathered}
$$

Discretize PDE
(Fügenschuh, et al. 2008)

- Gas Network Optimization (Martin et al. 2006).


## Numerically Exact Global Optimization


o Process engineering (Bergamini et al. 2005,

- Process engineering (Bergamini et al. 2
2008, Computers and Chemical Eng.)
o Wetland restoration (Stralberg et al. 2009). CONSERVATION


## 

$\qquad$


## Applications of Piecewise Linear Functions

## Numerically Exact Global Optimization



o Process engineering (Bergamini et al. 2005, 2008, Computers and Chemical Eng.)

O Wetland restoration (Stralberg et al. 2009).

## Modeling Piecewise Linear Functions

## Piecewise Linear Functions: Definition



$$
f(x):=\left\{\begin{array}{rl}
22 x+10 & x \in[0,1] \\
8 x+24 & x \in[1,2] \\
-17.5 x+75 & x \in[2,4] \\
10 x-35 & x \in[4,5]
\end{array}\right.
$$

DEFINITION 1. Piecewise Linear $f: D \subset \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ :

$$
f(x):=\left\{m_{P} x+c_{P} \quad x \in P \quad \forall P \in \mathcal{P}\right.
$$

for finite family of polytopes $\mathcal{P}$ such that $D=\bigcup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} P$
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Definition 1. Piecewise Linear $f: D \subset \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ :
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f(x):= \begin{cases}m_{P} x+c_{P} & x \in P \quad \forall P \in \mathcal{P} .\end{cases}
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for finite family of polytopes $\mathcal{P}$ such that $D=\bigcup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} P$

## Modeling Piecewise Linear Functions

## Modeling Function $=$ Epigraph

- epi $(f):=\left\{(x, z) \in D \times \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}: f(x) \leq z\right\}$.


(b) epi(f).

Example: $f(x) \leq 0 \Leftrightarrow(x, z) \in \operatorname{epi}(f), z \leq 0$

## Modeling Piecewise Linear Functions

## Gonvex Combination (CC): Univariate

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x):= \begin{cases}x+1 & x \in[0,2] \leftarrow P_{1} \\
6-3 / 2 x & x \in[2,4] \leftarrow P_{2}\end{cases} \\
& V(P)=\text { vertices of } P . \\
& \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{P}):=V\left(P_{1}\right) \cup V\left(P_{2}\right)=\{0,2,4\} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Modeling Piecewise Linear Functions

## Gonvex Combination (CC): Univariate

$$
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idea: write $(x, y) \in \operatorname{epi}(f)$
as convex combination of $(v, f(v))$ for $v \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{P})$.
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## Gonvex Combination (CC): Univariate
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\begin{array}{r}
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as convex combination of $z \geq 1 \lambda_{0}+3 \lambda_{2}+0 \lambda_{4}$
$(v, f(v))$ for $v \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{P}) . \quad 1=\lambda_{0}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{4}, \quad \lambda_{0}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{4} \geq 0$
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## Gonvex Combination (CC): Univariate
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\begin{aligned}
& f(x):= \begin{cases}x+1 & x \in[0,2] \leftarrow P_{1} \\
6-3 / 2 x & x \in[2,4] \leftarrow P_{2}\end{cases} \\
& \\
& \begin{array}{l}
V(P)=\text { vertices of } \mathrm{P} . \\
\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{P}):=V\left(P_{1}\right) \cup V\left(P_{2}\right)=\{0,2,4\}
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{ll}
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## Modeling Piecewise Linear Functions

## Convex Combination (CC): Univariate

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x):= \begin{cases}x+1 & x \in[0,2] \leftarrow P_{1} \\
6-3 / 2 x & x \in[2,4] \leftarrow P_{2}\end{cases} \\
V(P)=\text { vertices of } \mathrm{P} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\lambda_{0}$ and $\lambda_{4}$ cannot be nonzero at the same time.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=0 \lambda_{0}+2 \lambda_{2}+4 \lambda_{4} \\
& z \geq 1 \lambda_{0}+3 \lambda_{2}+0 \lambda_{4} \\
& 1=\lambda_{0}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{4}, \quad \lambda_{0}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{4} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda_{0} \leq y_{P_{1}}, \quad \lambda_{2} \leq y_{P_{1}}+y_{P_{2}}, \quad \lambda_{4} \leq y_{P_{2}} \\
1=y_{P_{1}}+y_{P_{2}}, \quad y_{P_{1}}, y_{P_{2}} \in\{0,1\}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Modeling Piecewise Linear Functions

## Convex Combination (CC): Univariate

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad \begin{array}{ll}
f(x):= \begin{cases}x+1 & x \in[0,2] \leftarrow P_{1} \\
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\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Convex Combination (CC): Multivariate
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## Gonvex Combination (CC): Multivariate
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## SOS2 only for univariate

## Modeling Piecewise Linear Functions

## Gonvex Combination (CC): Multivariate

- Univariate (Dantzig, 1960) ... Multivariate (Lee and Wilson (2001).
"Extra
Constraints"

$$
\sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{P})} \lambda_{v} v=x, \quad \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{P})} \lambda_{v}\left(m_{P} v+c_{P}\right) \leq z
$$

$$
\lambda_{v} \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{P}):=\bigcup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} V(P), \quad \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{P})} \lambda_{v}=1
$$

$\exists P \in \mathcal{P}$ s.t. $\left\{v \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{P}): \lambda_{v}>0\right\} \subset V(P)$
o Nonzero variables are associated to vertices of a single polytope.

## Modeling Piecewise Linear Functions

## Existing Models are Linear on $|\mathcal{P}|$

- Other models: Multiple Choice (MC), Incremental (Inc),

Disaggregated Convex
Combination (DCC).

- Number of binary variables and
 combinatorial "extra" constraints are linear in $|\mathcal{P}|$.
O For multivariate on a $k \times k$ grid $|\mathcal{P}|=O\left(k^{2}\right)$.
OLogarithmic sized formulations?



## Logarithmic Formulations

## SOS1, SOS2 and CC constraints.

- SOS1-2 (Beale and Tomlin 1970):
- SOS1: At most one variable is nonzero.
- SOS2: Only 2 adjacent variables are nonzero.

$$
\checkmark(0,1,1 / 2,0,0) \quad \times(0,1,0,1 / 2,0)
$$

- $\left(\lambda_{i}\right)_{i \in J} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{J}$, allowed sets $\left(S_{i}\right)_{i \in I}, \quad S_{i} \subset J$.
oSOS1: $I=J, \quad S_{i}=\{i\}$.
OSOS2: $J=\{0, \ldots, m\}, I=J \backslash\{m\}, S_{i}=\{i, i+1\}$.
OCD: $J=\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{P}), I=\mathcal{P}, S_{P}=V(P)$.


## Logarithmic Formulations

## Logarithmic Formulation for SOS1

$\sum_{j=0}^{3} \lambda_{j}=1, \quad \lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3} \geq 0$, at most $1 \lambda_{j}$ is nonzero.
Allowed sets: $S_{0}=\{0\}, S_{1}=\{1\}, S_{2}=\{2\}, S_{3}=\{3\}$.
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$\sum_{j=0}^{3} \lambda_{j}=1, \quad \lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3} \geq 0$, at most $1 \lambda_{j}$ is nonzero. Allowed sets: $S_{0}=\{0\}, S_{1}=\{1\}, S_{2}=\{2\}, S_{3}=\{3\}$.


O Injective function:
$B:\{0, \ldots, m-1\} \rightarrow\{0,1\}^{\left\lceil\log _{2} m\right\rceil}$

- Variables:

$$
w \in\{0,1\}^{\left[\log _{2} m \mid\right.}
$$

O ldea:

$$
\lambda_{j}>0 \Leftrightarrow w=B(j)
$$
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$\sum_{j=0}^{3} \lambda_{j}=1, \quad \lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3} \geq 0$, at most $1 \lambda_{j}$ is nonzero. Allowed sets: $S_{0}=\{0\}, S_{1}=\{1\}, S_{2}=\{2\}, S_{3}=\{3\}$.


## Logarithmic Formulations

## Logarithmic Formulation for SOS2

$\sum_{j=0}^{4} \lambda_{j}=1, \quad \lambda_{0}, \ldots, \lambda_{4} \geq 0$, only 2 adjacent $\lambda_{j}$ 's ar nonzero. Allowed sets: $S_{i}=\{i, i+1\} \quad$ for $i \in\{0, \ldots, 3\}$.

## Logarithmic Formulations

## Logarithmic Formulation for SOS2

$\sum_{j=0}^{4} \lambda_{j}=1, \quad \lambda_{0}, \ldots, \lambda_{4} \geq 0$, only 2 adjacent $\lambda_{j}$ 's ar nonzero. Allowed sets: $S_{i}=\{i, i+1\} \quad$ for $i \in\{0, \ldots, 3\}$.

|  | $S_{i}$ | $B(i)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | \{0, 1\} | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1,2\} | 1 | 0 |
| 2 | , 3$\}$ |  | 1 |
| 3 | 4\} | 1 | 1 |
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$\sum_{j=0}^{4} \lambda_{j}=1, \quad \lambda_{0}, \ldots, \lambda_{4} \geq 0$, only 2 adjacent $\lambda_{j}$ 's ar nonzero. Allowed sets: $S_{i}=\{i, i+1\} \quad$ for $i \in\{0, \ldots, 3\}$.
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\begin{aligned}
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- Select Square by SOS2 on each variable.
- Select 1 triangle from each square.
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## Logarithmic Formulations

## Independent Branching for 2 var CC

- Select Triangle by forbidding vertices.
- 2 stages:
- Select Square by SOS2 on each variable.
- Select 1 triangle from each square.



$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{L}= & \{(r, s) \in J: \\
& r \text { even and } s \text { odd }\} \\
= & \{\text { square vertices }\} \\
\bar{R}= & \{(r, s) \in J: \\
& r \text { odd and } s \text { even }\} \\
= & \{\text { diamond vertices }\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Comparison of Formulations

## Strength of LP Relaxations

- Sharp Models: LP = lower convex envelope.

(a) $\operatorname{epi}(f)$.


## LP relaxation


(b) $\operatorname{conv}(\operatorname{epi}(f))$.

- All popular models are sharp.

O Locally Ideal: LP = Integral (All but CC, even Log).

- Locally ideal implies Sharp.
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## Strength of LP Relaxations

- Sharp Models: LP = lower convex envelope.

${ }^{(a)} \operatorname{epi}(f)$.

(b) $\operatorname{conv}(\operatorname{epi}(f))$.
- All popular models are sharp.

O Locally Ideal: LP = Integral (All but CC, even Log).

- Locally ideal implies Sharp.


## Computational Results

- Instances
- Transportation problems (10x10 \& 5x2).
- Univariate: Concave Separable Objective.
- Multivariate: 2-commodity.

- Functions: affine in k segments or $\mathrm{k} \times \mathrm{k}$ grid triangulation (100 instances per k).


$$
\begin{aligned}
& (x, y) \rightarrow g(\|(x, y)\|) \\
& \text { Concave PLF } g(\cdot)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Solver: CPLEX 11 on 2.4Ghz machine.

O Logarithmic versions of CC = Log, DCC=DLog.

## Univariate Case (Separable)
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## Multivariate Case (Non-Separable)



## Lower Semicontinuous Functions

## Lower Semicontinuous PLFs



$$
f(x):= \begin{cases}1.5 x+1 & x \in[0,2) \\ 2 & x \in[2,2] \\ -1.5 x+6 & x \in(2,4] \\ 2 x-7 & x \in(4,5]\end{cases}
$$

$f(x):=\left\{m_{P} x+c_{P} \quad x \in P \quad \forall P \in \mathcal{P}\right.$
Finite family of copolytopes

$$
\begin{aligned}
P=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:\right. & a_{i} x \leq b_{i} \forall i \in\{1, \ldots, p\} \\
& \left.a_{i} x<b_{i} \forall i \in\{p, \ldots, m\}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$
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$f(x):=\left\{m_{P} x+c_{P} \quad x \in P \quad \forall P \in \mathcal{P}\right.$
Finite family of copolytopes

$$
P=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: a_{i} x \leq b_{i} \forall i \in\{1, \ldots, p\}\right.
$$

$$
\left.a_{i} x<b_{i} \forall i \in\{p, \ldots, m\}\right\}
$$

## Lower Semicontinuous Functions

## Lower Semicontinuous PLFs

$$
\underbrace{}_{y} f(x, y):=\begin{array}{ll}
3 & (x, y) \in(0,1]^{2} \\
2 & (x, y) \in\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: x=0, y>0\right\} \\
2 & (x, y) \in\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: y=0, x>0\right\} \\
0 & (x, y) \in\{(0,0)\} .
\end{array}
$$

$f(x):=\left\{m_{P} x+c_{P} \quad x \in P \quad \forall P \in \mathcal{P}\right.$
Finite family of copolytopes
$P=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: a_{i} x \leq b_{i} \forall i \in\{1, \ldots, p\}\right.$,

$$
\left.a_{i} x<b_{i} \forall i \in\{p, \ldots, m\}\right\}
$$
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## Lower Semicontinuous PLFs
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Finite family of copolytopes
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\begin{aligned}
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## Lower Semicontinuous Functions

## Lower Semicontinuous PLFs


$f(x):= \begin{cases}m_{P} x+c_{P} & x \in P \quad \forall P \in \mathcal{P}\end{cases}$
Finite family of copolytopes

$$
\begin{aligned}
P=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:\right. & a_{i} x \leq b_{i} \forall i \in\{1, \ldots, p\}, \\
& \left.a_{i} x<b_{i} \forall i \in\{p, \ldots, m\}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Lower Semicontinuous Functions

## Lower Semicontinuous Models

- Direct from Disjunctive Programming (Jeroslow and Lowe)
- "Extreme point" = DCC.
- Traditional = Multiple Choice (MC).
- Other models can be adapted to special ty
 discontinuities (e.g. simple fixed charges).
- MC, DCC, DLog are locally ideal and sharp.

O Computations: 2-commodity FC discount function.

## Multivariate Lower Semicontinuous
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## Multivariate Lower Semicontinuous



## Final Remarks

- Unifying theoretical framework: allows for multivariate non-separable and lower semicontinuous functions.
- First logarithmic formulations: Theoretically strong and provides significant computational advantage for large $\mid \mathcal{P}$.
o Revive forgotten formulations and functions: MC and fixed charge discount function.

