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## Combinatorial Example: Assignment Problem

- Assign $n$ workers to $m$ tasks to complete all tasks
- At most one task per worker
- Worker $i$ takes $t_{i, j}$ hours to complete task $j$
- Minimize total time worked
- Graph:
- Worker and task nodes
- Arcs between worker and task nodes

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Workers } \\
n=3 \\
t_{1, j}=4
\end{gathered}
$$

## Combinatorial Example: Assignment Problem

- Assign $n$ workers to $m$ tasks to complete all tasks
- At most one task per worker
- Worker $i$ takes $t_{i, j}$ hours to complete task $j$
- Minimize total time worked
- Variables: $x_{i, j}=1$ if worker $i$ is assigned to task $j$ and 0 o.w.
$\min \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} t_{i, j} x_{i, j}$
s.t.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{m} x_{i, j} \leq 1 & & \forall i \in\{1, \ldots, n\} \quad \text { Worker constraints } \\
\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i, j} & \geq 1 & \forall j \in\{1, \ldots, m\} \text { Task constraints } \\
x_{i, j} & \in\{0,1\} & \forall i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, j \in\{1, \ldots, m\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Traveling Salesman Problem : Visit all Cities Once



## Formulation for Traveling Salesman Problem

$[n]:=\{1, \ldots, n\}$
$\min \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} d(i, j) x_{i, j}$
s.t.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{i, j} & =1 & & \forall i \in[n] \\
\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i, j} & =1 & & \forall j \in[n] \\
x_{i, i} & =0 & & \forall i \in[n] \\
x_{i, j} & \in\{0,1\} & & \forall i, j \in[n]
\end{aligned}
$$



Homework Question 1: Add missing constraints Hint: You will need around $2^{n}$ inequalities

## Mixed Integer Programming (MIP)

- Discrete and continuous variables or combinatorial constraints on continuous variables.
- Example: Find minimum volume ellipsoid that contains $90 \%$ of data points



## MIP \& Daily Fantasy Sports



## The Greater Boston $\underset{ }{\text { FOOOD }}$ BANK <br> > \$15K

Download Code from Github:
https://github.com/dscotthunter/Fantasy-Hockey-IP-Code
http://arxiv.org/pdf/1604.01455v1.pdf

## How hard is MIP: Traveling Salesman Problem?



## MIP = Avoid (Complete) Enumeration

- Number of tours for 49 cities $=48!/ 2 \approx 10^{60}$
- Fastest supercomputer $\approx 10^{17}$ flops
- Assuming one floating point operation per tour:
$>10^{35}$ years $\approx 10^{25}$ times the age of the universe!
- How long does it take on an iphone?
- < 1 sec ! Dantzig, Fulkerson and Johnson 1 in 54'
- Even theoretically hard MIPs "can" be solved:
- Open-source solvers: GLPK, CBC, etc.
- Commercial: Gurobi, CPLEX, etc.
- Modeling Language:


## Easy MIP through julià \& OOMP

- julìa : general purpose programming language - download https://julialang.org/downloads/ then click or run from command line
- JuMP : modeling language for optimization

GLPK : Open-source MIP solver

- julia> Pkg.add("JuMP"); Pkg.add("GLPKMathProgInterface")
- Can also try JuliaBox on web
- https://www.juliabox.com/



## Easy MIP through julià \& o JuMP

- Assignment problem:
$\min \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} t_{i, j} x_{i, j}$
s.t.

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\sum_{j=1}^{m} x_{i, j} \leq 1 & & \forall i \in\{1, \ldots, n\} \\
\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i, j} & \geq 1 & & \forall j \in\{1, \ldots, m\} \\
x_{i, j} & \in\{0,1\} & & \forall i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, j \in\{1, \ldots, m\}
\end{array}
$$

```
model = Model(solver=GLPKSolverMIP());
@variable(model, x[1:n,1:m], Bin);
@objective(model, Min, sum(t[i,j]*x[i,j] for i in 1:n, j in 1:m));
@constraint(model, [i=1:n], sum(x[i,j] for j in 1:m) <= 1);
@constraint(model, [j=1:m], sum(x[i,j] for i in 1:n) >= 1);
```

Homework Question 2: Solve problem with random cost Complete file in website.

## Solving MIPs: Step 1 = Linear Programming

```
max }\mp@subsup{x}{2}{
s.t. }\mp@subsup{x}{1}{}+\mp@subsup{x}{2}{}\leq
    -x}-\mp@subsup{x}{1}{}-\mp@subsup{x}{2}{}\leq
    +x
    -x
        x},\mp@subsup{x}{2}{}\in\mathbb{Z
```

$\leftarrow$ Linear Programming (LP) Relaxation

- Solving LPs is easy in theory and practice.
- One reason = LP duality
- Suppose I guess optimum

$$
x_{1}=0 \text { and } x_{2}=1
$$

- How do I prove that for all solutions of LP $x_{2} \leq 1$ ?
$\begin{array}{r}(1 / 2) \times\left(x_{1}+x_{2} \leq 1\right) \\ +\quad(1 / 2) \times\left(-x_{1}+x_{2} \leq 1\right) \\ \hline x_{2} \leq 1\end{array}$


## Solving MIPs: Step 1 = Linear Programming

| $\max x_{2}$ |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| s.t. $x_{1}+x_{2}$ | $\leq 1$ |
| $-x_{1}-x_{2}$ | $\leq 1$ |
| $+x_{1}-x_{2}$ | $\leq 1$ |
| $-x_{1}+x_{2}$ | $\leq 1$ |
| $x_{1}, x_{2}$ | $\in \mathbb{Z}$ |$\underbrace{2}$

$\leftarrow$ Linear Programming (LP) Relaxation

- LP relaxation always gives a (upper) bound on the MIP:
- If solution of LP is "integer" then you solved the MIP
- LP solvers return "corner" solution, which fixes "multiple optima" (e.g. $\max x_{1}+x_{2}$ )
- Homework Question 3: Solve LP relaxation of assignment problem with JuMP. Is solution integer?


## Solving MIPs: Step 2 = Branch-and-Bound


$\leftarrow$ Linear Programming (LP) Relaxation
Homework Question 4:
Prove $x_{2} \leq 12 / 7$ for LP Relaxation.

## Modern MIP Solvers = B\&B++

# GUROBI <br> OPTIMIZATION 

## SCIP 1



CBC


- Really branch-and-cut:
- Use cuts to improve LP relaxation.
- Elaborate heuristics: Rounding +++
- Preprocessing: fixing variables by logical implications.
- Advanced management of B\&B tree.
- Extensive tuning of parameters and techniques.


## Cutting Plane Example: Chátal-Gomory Cuts

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
P:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: \begin{array}{c}
x_{1}+x_{2} \leq 3, \\
5 x_{1}-3 x_{2} \leq 3
\end{array}\right\} \\
H:=\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: \underbrace{\mid \cap}_{\in \mathbb{Z}} \\
\text { if } x \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\
\left.4 x_{1}+3 x_{2} \leq 10.5\right\} \\
\text { Valid for } H \cap x_{2} \leq\lfloor 10.5\rfloor \\
\text { Valid for } P \cap \mathbb{Z}^{2}
\end{array}\right\} \begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
(27 / 8)\left(x_{1}+x_{2} \leq 3\right) \quad \Rightarrow 4 x_{1}+3 x_{2} \leq 10.5 \\
+(1 / 8)\left(5 x_{1}-3 x_{2} \leq 3\right)
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Branch-and-Bound and Cuts (Branch-and-Cut)



## Branch-and-Bound and Cuts (Branch-and-Cut)



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max z:=x_{2} \\
& 3 x_{1}+2 x_{2} \leq 6 \quad x_{2} \leq\lfloor 1.71\rfloor=1 \\
&-2 x_{1}+x_{2} \leq 0 \\
& x_{1}, x_{2} \geq 0 \\
& x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}
\end{aligned}
$$

## No Enumeration = Keep Adding Cuts

- Number of tours for 49 cities $=48!/ 2 \approx 10^{60}$
- Fastest supercomputer $\approx 10^{17}$ flops
- Assuming one floating point operation per tour:
$>10^{35}$ years $\approx 10^{25}$ times the age of the universe!
- How long does it take on an iphone?
- < 1 sec ! Dantzig, Fulkerson and Johnson Li in 54'
- This is how DFJ solved the problem by hand in 54'
- In practice Branch-and-Cut is better.
- More details in Concord TSP App
- Cutting plane tutorial for TSP

- http://www.math.uwaterloo.ca/tsp/iphone/


## Easy Problems : LP Relaxation Always Integral

## Consequence of LP duality: Kőnig's theorem

- Largest Matching
- Pick edges, at most one edge per node

- Smallest Node Cover
- Pick nodes that touch all edges



## Classes and Links

- juli̊á , JuMP and Optimization - https://github.com/JuliaOpt/JuMP.jl
- http://www.juliaopt.org
- 15.053 Optimization Methods in Business Analytics
- Modeling and computation
- Instructor: James B. Orlin
- Spring 2018: http://mit.edu/15.053/www/
- 18.453 Combinatorial Optimization
- Theory and algorithms
- Instructor: Michel Goemans
- Spring 2017 : http://www-math.mit.edu//goemans/18453s17/18453.htm|

MIP \& Daily Fantasy Sports

## Example Entry



| LINEUP |  |  | Avg. Rem. I Player: \$0 <br> Rem. Salary: \$0 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pos | PLAYER | OPP | FPPG | SALARY |  |
| C | Jussi Jokinen | Fla@Anh | 3.1 | \$5,300 | \% |
| C | Brandon Sutter | Pit@Van | 3.0 | \$4,400 | \% |
| W | Nikolaj Ehlers | Wpg@Tor | 3.9 | \$4,800 | * |
| w | Daniel Sedin ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | Pit@Van | 3.8 | \$6,400 | * |
| w | Radim Vrbata ${ }_{\text {E }}$ | Pit@Van | 3.4 | \$5,800 | * |
| D | Brian Campbell ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Fla@Anh | 2.6 | \$4,100 | * |
| D | Morgan Rielly ${ }_{\text {P }}^{\text {P }}$ | Wpg@Tor | 3.5 | \$4,200 | * |
| G | Corey Crawford P P | StL@Chi | 6.3 | \$7,800 | * |
| UTIL | Blake Wheeler [ ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | Wpg@Tor | 4.8 | \$7,200 | * |

\$55K Sniper Payoff Structure


## Building a Lineup



## MIP Formulation

- L lineups : indexed by $l$
- 9 players per lineup: indexed by $p$
- Decision variables

$$
x_{p l}= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if player } p \text { in lineup } l \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

## Basic Feasibility

- Basic constraints:
- 9 different players
- Salary less than \$50,000


## LINEUP



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{p=1}^{N} c_{p} x_{p l} \leq \$ 50,000, \quad \text { (budget constraint) } \\
& \sum_{p=1}^{N} x_{p l}=9, \quad \text { (lineup size constraint) } \\
& x_{p l} \in\{0,1\}, \quad 1 \leq p \leq N
\end{aligned}
$$

## Position Feasibility

- Between 2 and 3 centers
- Between 3 and 4 wingers
- Between 2 and 3 defensemen
- 1 goalie


## Position constraints

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \leq \sum_{p \in C} x_{p l} \leq 3, \quad \quad(\text { center constraint) } \\
& 3 \leq \sum_{u \in W} x_{p l} \leq 4, \quad(\text { winger constraint) } \\
& 2 \leq \sum_{u \in D} x_{p l} \leq 3, \quad \text { (defensemen constraint) } \\
& \sum_{u \in G} x_{p l}=1 \quad \text { (goalie constraint) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Team Feasibility

- At least 3 different NHL teams

Team constraints


## Maximize Points

- Forecasted points for player $\mathrm{p}: f_{p}$


| Score type | Points |
| :--- | :---: |
| Goal | 3 |
| Assist | 2 |
| Shot on Goal | 0.5 |
| Blocked Shot | 0.5 |
| Short Handed Point Bonus (Goal/Assist) | 1 |
| Shootout Goal | 0.2 |
| Hat Trick Bonus | 1.5 |
| Win (goalie only) | 3 |
| Save (goalie only) | 0.2 |
| Goal allowed (goalie only) | -1 |
| Shutout Bonus (goalie only) | 2 |

Table 1 Points system for NHL contests in DraftKings.

## Points Objective Function



## Lineup



## Need > 38 points for a chance to win



## Increase variance to have a chance



## Structural Correlations: Teams



## Structural Correlations: Lines

- Goal $=3 \mathrm{pt}$, assist $=2 \mathrm{pt}$



## Structural Correlations: Lines = Stacking

- At least 1 complete line (3 players per line)
- At least 2 partial lines (at least 2 players per line)

1 complete line constraint

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3 v_{i} \leq \sum_{p \in L_{i}} x_{p l}, \quad \forall i \in\left\{1, \ldots, N_{L}\right\} \\
& \sum_{i=1}^{N_{L}} v_{i} \geq 1 \\
& v_{i} \in\{0,1\}, \quad \forall i \in\left\{1, \ldots, N_{L}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

2 partial lines constraint

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 w_{i} \leq \sum_{p \in L_{i}} x_{p l}, \quad \forall i \in\left\{1, \ldots, N_{L}\right\} \\
& \sum_{i=1}^{N_{L}} w_{i} \geq 2 \\
& w_{i} \in\{0,1\}, \quad \forall i \in\left\{1, \ldots, N_{L}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Structural Correlations : Goalie Against Opposing Players



## Structural Correlations : Goalie Against Opposing Players

- No skater against goalie

No skater against goalie constraint


Good, but not great chance


## Play many diverse Lineups

- Make sure lineup I has no more than $\gamma$ players in common with lineups 1 to l-1

Diversity constraint

$$
\sum_{p=1}^{N} x_{p k}^{*} x_{p l} \leq \gamma, k=1, \ldots, l-1
$$

## Were we able to do it?



November 15, 2015 November 16, 2015 November 17, 2015 November 23, 2015

## 200 lineups

## Policy Change



200 lineups -> 100 lineups

## Were we able to continue it?



# The Greater Boston $\underset{\text { BANK }}{\text { FoOd }}$ 

> \$15K

December 12, 2015

## 100 lineups

## juluia

## How can you do it?

## JuMP

## Download Code from Github:

https://github.com/dscotthunter/Fantasy-Hockey-IP-Code

http://arxiv.org/pdf/1604.01455v1.pdf

## Performance Time < 30 Minutes



Solver

